

**From:** [Keith Peat DU PR](#)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 28, 2014 10:59 AM  
**To:** [info@saferroadsfoundation.org](mailto:info@saferroadsfoundation.org)  
**Cc:** [Idris Francis](#) ; [idris.francis@btinternet.com](mailto:idris.francis@btinternet.com) ; [Paul Biggs](#) ; [Paul](#) ; [Malcolm ABD](#)  
**Subject:** Road Safety

Dear Sir/ Madam

My colleagues and I give our time freely and voluntarily and at our own expense with the aim of genuine, altruistic best road safety, no unnecessary prosecution of many thousands of perfectly safe drivers, especially profit based, to remove road safety policy based on profiteering or anti driver ideology neither of which will be the foundation of best practice.

One of the ways to achieve this is to insist on referencing appropriate CVs in road safety and driving. At the moment policy is very much being led by vested interest or other agendas and people, some even academics but with no background in road accidents, prosecution, or special road driving expertise. This is allowing the profiteers and ideologists an open door and access and ability to follow their own real agendas . This means that road safety and drivers are the victims.

Please do see the vested interests and profiteers of road safety at [1ajAolm](#) & charity money go round at [163UKZO](#) You wouldn't believe from all these charities and lobby groups, that after 300 billion driver miles a year, there's less death on the road from all causes than from accidents in the home and five times less than from NHS failure. That alone shows that all this alleged road safety interest isn't really about life and death but money, or anti driver ideology or both. We have established that one feeds the other. Road safety profiteers finance the ideologists who naively present the case for more money to be spent and earned in one big road safety money go round. This is summarised on our Home Page at [1h3N6eC](#)

My approach is for two reasons.

Firstly I am very disturbed that you have financed an enquiry by a group called The Transport Safety Commission. Most of the characters who run this are already identified by us on the pages I have drawn to your attention. Take Barry Sheerman MP, he is part of the All Party Cycling Group, who actually patronises an anti road safety anti driver imaginary dog on twitter. I can identify a very unhealthy imbalance of cycling connections on this body, including such as Peter Bottomly and Sustrans, whilst PACTs represent road safety profiteers and vested interests, including police, speed camera manufacturers and operators, motor insurance and so on. The RACFoundation I have easily been able to expose as having interests other than good road safety policy often based on flawed rationale, and you can follow some of that from our site. But from the list I am unable to identify one single group or individual with an appropriate CV in road safety anyway. I don't suppose it is now possible to withdraw your financial support for what is clearly an ideological anti driver interest group unlikely to reach a conclusion good for road safety or the public interest, but

you could ask that there is more driver road safety expertise on the Commission. I could certainly suggest names.

Secondly, although my colleagues and I take great pride in the fact the we are unpaid, unlike the directors and CEOs of these Registered Charities, and have hitherto even paid all costs from our own pockets, having looked at your aims, they are entirely compatible and more in tune with our approach than The Transport Safety Commission. Will you support our work? Can we add you to our accreditations and would we qualify for financial help to get our work more widely known? I can do no better than to direct you to Road Accidents Prevent or Punish by JJ Leeming B.Sc. (Oxon) A.C.G.I., F.I.C.E., M.I.Struct.E., M.I.Mun.E., F.Inst. H.E. who uncannily in 1969 understood and wrote about, all the things I have concluded entirely separately too

Don't be put off by negative attitudes towards us and me in particular. Where money and ideology is involved, there are many to be upset by the statement 'The Emperor is naked'. But at least I am backed up by such as JJ Leeming in all this.

It may be worth noting that I am able to communicate these things, and publish them without fear of litigation. That in itself is a corroboration isn't it?

Wishes

Keith Peat  
CV at [HhaOnE](#)  
Drivers' Union